

Release notes for ENDF/B Development n-009_F_019
evaluation

ENDF
B-VII.dev

April 26, 2017

- fudge-4.0 Warnings:

1. FIXME: Another genuine fudge bug!
(Error # 2): *Fudge check bug*

FAILURE: ENDF EVALUATION CHECKING HALTED BECAUSE 'database' object has no attribute 'check''database' object has

2. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.
Section 0 (total): / Form 'eval': (Error # 0): Condition num.

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (9.688295e-10) is too small

3. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.
Section 2 ((z,n)): / Form 'eval': / Component 0 (Error # 0): Condition num.

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (0.000000e+00) is too small

4. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.
Section 2 ((z,n)): / Form 'eval': / Component 1 (Error # 0): Condition num.

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (0.000000e+00) is too small

5. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.
Section 2 ((z,n)): / Form 'eval': / Component 2 (Error # 0): Condition num.

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (0.000000e+00) is too small

6. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.
Section 6 (n + n + F18 + gamma): / Form 'eval': / Component 0 (Error # 0): Condition num.

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (0.000000e+00) is too small

7. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.
Section 6 (n + n + F18 + gamma): / Form 'eval': / Component 1 (Error # 0): Condition num.

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (0.000000e+00) is too small

8. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.
Section 9 (n + He4 + N15 + gamma): / Form 'eval': / Component 0 (Error # 0): Condition num.

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (0.000000e+00) is too small

9. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.
Section 9 ($n + \text{He4} + \text{N15} + \text{gamma}$): / Form 'eval': / Component 1 (Error # 0): Condition num.

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (0.000000e+00) is too small

10. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.
Section 11 ($n + \text{H1} + \text{O18} + \text{gamma}$): / Form 'eval': / Component 0 (Error # 0): Condition num.

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (0.000000e+00) is too small

11. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.
Section 11 ($n + \text{H1} + \text{O18} + \text{gamma}$): / Form 'eval': / Component 1 (Error # 0): Condition num.

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (0.000000e+00) is too small

12. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.
Section 12 ($\text{F20} + \text{gamma}$): / Form 'eval': (Error # 0): Condition num.

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (5.900142e-11) is too small

13. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.
Section 13 ($\text{H1} + (\text{O19}_s \rightarrow \text{O19} + \text{gamma})$): / Form 'eval': (Error # 0): Condition num.

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (0.000000e+00) is too small

14. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.
Section 14 ($\text{H2} + \text{O18}_s$): / Form 'eval': (Error # 0): Condition num.

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (0.000000e+00) is too small

15. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.
Section 15 ($\text{H3} + \text{O17}_s$): / Form 'eval': (Error # 0): Condition num.

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (0.000000e+00) is too small

16. The ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue is quite small, possibly leading to numerical instability in downstream codes.
Section 16 ($\text{He4} + (\text{N16}_s \rightarrow \text{N16} + \text{gamma})$): / Form 'eval': (Error # 0): Condition num.

WARNING: Ratio of smallest/largest eigenvalue (0.000000e+00) is too small

- fudge-4.0 Errors:

1. Exception AttributeError was thrown
FAILURE: ENDF EVALUATION CHECKING HALTED BECAUSE 'database' object has no attribute 'check' database' object has no attribute 'check' (Error # 1): AttributeError

AttributeError: 'database' object has no attribute 'check'

- njoy2012 Warnings:

1. The cross section is nonzero at threshold
reconr...reconstruct pointwise cross sections in pendf format (0): Sig(Eth)>0

---message from lunion---xsec nonzero at threshold for mt= 51
adjusted using jump in xsec

2. The cross section is nonzero at threshold
reconr...reconstruct pointwise cross sections in pendf format (1): Sig(Eth)>0

---message from lunion---xsec nonzero at threshold for mt= 52
adjusted using jump in xsec

3. This nuclide has no URR and NJOY is upset about it
unresr...calculation of unresolved resonance cross sections (0): No URR

---message from unresr---mat 925 has no resonance parameters
copy as is to nout

4. This nuclide has no URR and NJOY is upset about it
purrr...probabalistic unresolved calculation (0): No URR

---message from purrr---mat 925 has no resonance parameters
copy as is to nout

5. Coefficient mismatch of some sort
covr...process covariance data (1): COVR/matshd (2)

---message from matshd---processing of mat/mt 925/ 4 vs. mat1/mt1 925/ 22
largest coefficient= 1.11167E+00 at index 506 525

6. The number of coefficients was too large in a covariance
covr...process covariance data (2): Cov:Too many coeff.

---message from matshd--- 252 coefficients > 1
reset and continue.

7. Coefficient mismatch of some sort
covr...process covariance data (3): COVR/matshd (2)

---message from matshd---processing of mat/mt 925/ 16 vs. mat1/mt1 925/ 22
largest coefficient= 1.10152E+00 at index 618 555

8. The number of coefficients was too large in a covariance
covr...process covariance data (4): Cov:Too many coeff.

---message from matshd--- 448 coefficients > 1
reset and continue.

9. Coefficient mismatch of some sort
covr...process covariance data (5): COVR/matshd (2)

```
---message from matshd---processing of mat/mt  925/ 22 vs. mat1/mt1  925/ 28
                        largest coefficient=  1.12375E+00 at index 555 602
```

10. The number of coefficients was too large in a covariance
covr...process covariance data (6): Cov:Too many coeff.

```
---message from matshd--- 704 coefficients > 1
                        reset and continue.
```

- **acelst** Warnings:

1. generic warning message
0: Warning

```
ACELST WARNING - More than one range for MF/MT          6          16
                  Formatting of MF6 not coded for MT      22  Law      61
```

- **xsectplotter** Errors:

1. Exception `IndexError` was thrown
(Error # 3): IndexError

```
IndexError: index out of range
```